

REMARKS

Applicants elect Claims 1-7 drawn to a first species depicted by Figures 1 and 2, without traverse. Claims 1-6 have been amended to reflect proper antecedent basis, but maintain their original claim elements and structure. Additionally, Claims 10-20 are newly added in this paper and are believed by the Applicants to read on the elected species of Figures 1 and 2. Applicants are cancelling Claims 8-9, without prejudice and reserve the right to prosecute the cancelled claims and non-elected species in any divisional application(s). Therefore, Claims 1-7 and Claims 10-20 are now pending in the application as a result of this Amendment and Response to Election of Species Requirement.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly addressed. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

H. Keith Miller, Esq.
REG. NO. 22,484

Dated: *December 28, 2005*

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600
HKM:MDF:ca